| | Case 2:22-cv-01377-JDP Document | 9 Filed 12/08/22 Page 1 of 2 |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | DAVID ARKEEM EVANS, | Case No. 2:22-cv-01377-JDP (PC) |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS |
| 13 | v. | CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM |
| 14 | CORTER, et al., | RESPONSE DUE WITHIN TWENTY-ONE |
| 15 | Defendants. | DAYS |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | On October 4, 2022, the court screened plaintiff's complaint and notified him that it | |
| 18 | alleged cognizable Eighth Amendment excessive force claims against defendants Corter and | |
| 19 | Martin, but that the other claims were not adequately pled. ECF No. 8. The court gave plaintiff | |
| 20 | thirty days to file an amended complaint or advise the court if he wished to stand by his current | |
| 21 | complaint and proceed only with his Eighth Amendment excessive force claims against Corter | |
| 22 | and Martin. <i>Id.</i> at 3-4. To date, plaintiff has not filed either an amended complaint or a notice of | |
| 23 | election to proceed on the cognizable claims. | |
| 24 | To manage its docket effectively, the court requires litigants to meet certain deadlines. | |
| 25 | The court may impose sanctions, including dismissing a case, for failure to comply with its orders | |
| 26 | or local rules. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E.D. Cal. L.R. 110; Hells Canyon Pres. Council v. U.S. | |
| 27 | Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. | |
| 28 | 1988). Involuntary dismissal is a harsh penalty, but a district court has a duty to administer | |
| | | 1 |

Case 2:22-cv-01377-JDP Document 9 Filed 12/08/22 Page 2 of 2

justice expeditiously and avoid needless burden for the parties. *See Pagtalunan v. Galaza*, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002); Fed. R. Civ. P. 1.

Plaintiff will be given a chance to explain why the court should not dismiss the case for his failure to file an amended complaint or notice of election to proceed on the cognizable claims. Plaintiff's failure to respond to this order will constitute a failure to comply with a court order and will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Accordingly, plaintiff is ordered to show cause within twenty-one days why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to state a claim. Should plaintiff wish to continue with this lawsuit, he shall file, within twenty-one days, an amended complaint or advise if he wishes to proceed only with his Eighth Amendment excessive force claims against Corter and Martin.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 7, 2022

JERÉMY D. PETERSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
